

Introductory remarks for World Panel, Advanced Bioeconomy Leadership Conference, ABLC Digital 2021

ABLC WORLD STAGE FORUM GLOBAL TRENDS



Keith L. Kline <u>klinekl@ornl.gov</u> Environmental Sciences, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA 07 May 2021

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy





Acknowledgements: U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO), IEA Bioenergy, and Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle for DOE under contract number DE-AC05-000R22725. The views expressed in this presentation do not necessarily represent the views of the United States Government or any agency thereof. For more information on recent research, see <u>https://cbes.ornl.gov/</u>



Bioenergy impacts on Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) depend on site-specific conditions

- Biofuel supply chains documented in IEA Bioenergy case studies had "overwhelmingly positive contributions" supporting
 - SDG-7 Affordable & Clean Energy
 - SDG-8 Decent Work & Economic Growth
 - SDG-9 Industry, Innovation, Infrastructure
 - SDG-12 Responsible Production & Consumption
 - SDG-2 Zero Hunger and SDG-6 Clean Water & Sanitation (especially with agricultural, energy crops and residues)
 - SDG-15 Life on Land (especially with properly-managed forestry supply chains)
 - Some bioenergy supply chains showed remarkable resilience to the Covid pandemic
- Sustainability requirements for bioenergy influence wider forestry and agricultural practices, increase investments in conservation, and provide incentives for natural climate solutions

For more information, please see <u>IEABioenergy.com</u> [publications], Blair et al. 2021; Kline et al. 2021 and References listed on the final slide.



Many challenges remain to build public confidence in the role of biomass in sustainable, climate-smart, circular economies

How can we best -

- Effectively communicate research to broad audiences?
- Build trust in science and understanding of how the scientific process works?
- Appropriately communicate the distinctions among different levels of confidence in data and findings?
- Provide transparent, timely, and reliable information on effects that are of high priority to local stakeholders?



Social resistance to bioenergy persists. Work continues to identify best practices & build trust

- Trust is built on relationships.
- Long-term commitments can create stakeholder ownership of the process and proposed solutions.
- Future research directions
 - Link equity, distributive justice (a.k.a. EJ) & resilience with energy transitions and an expanding bioeconomy
 - Build global consensus on verifiable standards for sustainably-sourced biomass



For more information, please see Center for BioEnergy Sustainability <u>https://cbes.ornl.gov/</u> [publications] including Dale et al. 2019 and other References listed on the final slide.

Thank you!

Acknowledgements: Support for the research and presentation provided by the US Department of Energy, Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO) with special thanks to Alicia Lindauer and Jim Spaeth (BETO), ORNL colleagues Esther Parish, Rebecca Efroymson, Erin Webb, and Matt Langholtz, IEA Bioenergy collaborators around the globe, and Virginia Dale, University of TN Knoxville.



Copyright statement: This material is based upon work supported by the US Department of Energy under the Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO) and performed at Oak Ridge National Laboratory under contract number DE-AC05-00OR22725.

The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.





References for material in this presentation

- Blair et al. 2021 Contribution of Biomass Supply Chains for Bioenergy to Sustainable Development Goals. Land 10(2):181
- Griscom et al. Natural climate solutions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(44):11645
- Kline et al. 2021. Effects of Woody Pellets in the Southeastern United States on the Sustainable Development Goals. Sustainability 13(2):821
- Kline et al. Resilience lessons from the southeast US wood-pellet biomass supply chain response to the Covid-19 pandemic (in review with Frontiers in Forests and Global Change)
- Kline and Dale 2020. Protecting Biodiversity through Forest Management: Lessons Learned and Strategies for Success. IJSNR 26(4):556194
- Kline and Simon, 2020. What really works to conserve biodiversity & tropical forests? EurActiv.com
- Parish et al. 2020 Framework for land management effects on species of concern. WIREs Energy and Environment
- Kline et al. 2017. Reconciling biofuels and food security: priorities for action. GCB-Bioenergy 9(3):557-576.
- Dale, Kline, Parish, Eichler. 2019. Landscape Ecology 34:1199
- Dale et al. 2016. Incorporating bioenergy into sustainable landscape designs. RSER 56:1158
- Kliskey et al. 2021 Thinking Big and Thinking Small: A Conceptual Framework for Best Practices in Community and Stakeholder Engagement in Food, Energy, and Water Systems. Sustainability 13:2160

Additional references – related reading

- 2016 Billion-Ton Report. Volume 2: Advancing Domestic Resources for a Thriving Bioeconomy. Volume 2. Environmental Sustainability Effects of Select Scenarios: <u>https://energy.gov/eere/bioenergy/downloads/2016-billion-ton-report-volume-2-environmental-sustainability-effects</u> & <u>https://energy.gov/eere/bioenergy/2016-billion-ton-report-volume-2-environmental-sustainability-effects</u> & <u>https://energy.gov</u>
- Cowie A, Berndes G, Smith T (2013) On the timing of greenhouse gas mitigation benefits of forest based bioenergy. IEA Bioenergy ExCo: 2013:04. Available at: www.ieabioenergy.com/publications/on-the-timing-of-greenhouse-gas-mitigationbenefits-of-forest-based-bioenergy (accessed 19 January 2017).
- Dale B et al. 2014. Take a closer look: biofuels can support environmental, economic and social goals. ES&T48(13):7200-7203
- Dale VH, Kline KL, Parish ES, Eichler SE. 2019. Engaging stakeholders to assess landscape sustainability. Landscape Ecology. DOI: 10.1007/s10980-019-00848-1. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10980-019-00848-1
- Dale VH, Parish ES, Kline KL, Tobin E (2017) How is wood-based pellet production affecting forest conditions in the southeastern United States? Forest Ecology and Management 396: 143-149. doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.03.022 https://authors.elsevier.com/a/1UxyW1L~GwCo5V
- Dale VH et al. 2016. Incorporating bioenergy into sustainable landscape designs. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews* 56:1158-1171. http://authors.elsevier.com/sd/article/S1364032115014215
- Dale VH, Kline KL, Marland G, Miner RA. 2015. Ecological objectives can be achieved with wood-derived bioenergy. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. 13(6): 297-299.
- Dale VH, RA Efroymson, KL Kline, and M Davitt (2015) A framework for selecting indicators of bioenergy sustainability. Biofuels, Bioproducts & Biorefining 9(4):435-446. DOI: 10.1002/bbb.1562; http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/bbb.1562/epdf
- Dale, VH, RA Efroymson, KL Kline, MH Langholtz, PN Leiby, GA Oladosu, MR Davis, ME Downing, MR Hilliard. 2013. Indicators for assessing socioeconomic sustainability of bioenergy systems: A short list of practical measures. Ecological Indicators 26: 87-102. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.10.014



Additional references – related reading (continued)

- Dale VH, KL Kline et al. (2013) Communicating about bioenergy sustainability. Environ. Mgmt. 51(2)Dale VH, KL Kline, LL Wright, RD Perlack, M Downing, RL Graham.
 2011. Interactions among bioenergy feedstock choices, landscape dynamics and land use. Ecological Applications 21(4):1039-1054.
- Dale VH, Kline KL et al. (2010) Biofuels: Implications for Land Use and Biodiversity. Ecological Society of America special report: http://www.esa.org/biofuelsreports
- Dornburg et al. 2010. Bioenergy revisited: Key factors in global potentials of bioenergy. Energy Environ. Sci., 2010,3, 258-267..
- Efroymson, R. A., V. H. Dale, K. L. Kline, A. C. McBride, J. M. Bielicki, R. L. Smith, E. S. Parish, P. E. Schweizer, D. M. Shaw. 2012. Environmental indicators of biofuel sustainability: What about context? Environmental Management DOI 10.1007/s00267-012-9907-5
 http://web.ornl.gov/sci/ees/cbes/Publications/Efroymsonetal2012biofuelindicatorcontextEMfinal10%201007 s00267-012-9907-5.pdf
- Hodges DG, Chapagain B, Watcharaanantapong P, Poudyal NC, Kline KL, Dale VH. 2019. Opportunities and attitudes of private forest landowners in supplying woody biomass for renewable energy. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 113:10925 (Oct 2019) doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.06.012
- Efroymson RA, Kline KL, Angelsen A, Verburg PH, Dale VH, Langeveld JWA, McBride A (2016) A causal analysis framework for land-use change and the potential role
 of bioenergy policy. Land Use Policy (59) 31 (Dec 2016) 516–527 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.09.009
- Giglio L., J. T. Randerson, G. R. van derWerf, P. S. Kasibhatla, G. J. Collatz, D. C. Morton, and R. S. DeFries. Assessing variability and long-term trends in burned area by merging multiple satellite fire products. Biogeosciences, 7, 1171–1186, 2010.
- Griscom BW, Adams J, Ellis PW, et al. Natural climate solutions [published correction appears in Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019 Feb 12;116(7):2776]. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(44):11645-11650
- Hoekman K, Scott D and Kline KL. 2019. Summary of the NBB Sustainability and Land Use Change Workshop held September 26-27, 2018, in St. Louis, MO. Available at Center for BioEnergy Sustainability 2019 publications website http://www.ornl.gov/sci/ees/cbes/
- IRENA (Jeff Skeer) (2016) Boosting Biofuels: Sustainable paths to greater energy security. <u>www.irena.org</u>
- Junginger M, Fritsche U, Mai-Moulin T, Thrän D, Thiffault E, Kline KL, Dale VH. 2019. Measuring, governing and gaining support for sustainable bioenergy supply chains: IEA Bioenergy Sustainability Inter-Task (web site: <u>http://itp-sustainable.ieabioenergy.com/</u>
- Kline KL, Dale VH (2008) Biofuels, causes of land-use change, and the role of fire in greenhouse gas emissions. Science, 321, 199.
- Kline KL, Oladosu GA, Dale VH, McBride AC (2011) Scientific analysis is essential to assess biofuel policy effects. Biomass and Bioenergy, 35, 4488-4491
- Kline KL et al. (2017) Reconciling biofuels and food security: priorities for action. GCB-Bioenergy. <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gcbb.12366/full</u>
- Kline, KL and MD Coleman, (2010) Woody energy crops in the southeastern United States: Two centuries of practitioner experience, Biomass and Bioenergy, 34(12).
- Kline, K. L., V. H. Dale, and A. Grainger. (2010) Challenges for Bioenergy Emission Accounting. Science e-letter (2 March 2010) http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/eletters/326/5952/527#13024
- McBride A, VH Dale, L Baskaran, M Downing, L Eaton, RA Efroymson, C Garten, KL Kline, H Jager, P Mulholland, E Parish, P Schweizer, and J Storey. 2011. Indicators to support environmental sustainability of bioenergy systems. *Ecological Indicators* 11(5) 1277-1289.



Additional references – related reading (continued)

- Miner RA, Abt RC, Bowyer JL et al. (2014) Forest carbon accounting considerations in US bioenergy policy. Journal of Forestry, 112, 591–606.
- Oladosu D, KL Kline, P Leiby, R Martinez, M Davis, M Downing, L Eaton. 2012. Global economic effects of the US biofuel policy and the potential contribution from advanced biofuels. Biofuels 3(6):703-723. http://www.future-science.com/doi/pdfplus/10.4155/bfs.12.6
- Oladosu, G., and Msangi, S. (2013). Biofuel-food market interactions: a review of modeling approaches and findings. Agriculture, 3(1), 53-71.
- Parish ES, M Hilliard, LM Baskaran, VH Dale, NA Griffiths, PJ Mulholland, A Sorokine, NA Thomas, ME Downing, R Middleton. 2012. Multimetric spatial optimization of switchgrass plantings across a watershed. Biofuels, Bioprod. Bioref. 6(1):58-72.
- Parish ES, Kline KL, Dale VH, Efroymson RA, et al., (2013) Comparing Scales of Environmental Effects from Gasoline and Ethanol Production. Environmental Management 51(2):307-338
- Rainforest Alliance (2008) Impact of FSC Certification on Deforestation and the Incidence of Wildfires in the Maya Biosphere Reserve. http://www.rainforestalliance.org/forestry/documents/peten_study.pdf
- Roser M (2015) Our World in Data. www.OurWorldinData.org
- Souza GM, Victoria RL, Joly CA and Verdade M, editors 2015. Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment (SCOPE), Bioenergy & Sustainability: bridging the gaps. SCOPE 72. Paris, France and Sao Paulo, Brazil. ISBN: 978-2-9545557-0-6. http://bioenfapesp.org/scopebioenergy/index.php
- Strassburg BBN, Latwiec AE, et al., 2014. When enough should be enough. Improving the use of current agricultural lands could spare natural habitats in Brazil. Glob.Env.Change 28 84-97.
- Sumner DA (2009) Recent commodity price movements in historical perspective. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 91(5) 1250-1256
- Stewart, P., 2015. Wood Supply Market Trends in the US South: 1995–2015. Forest2Market, Inc., Report prepared for the National alliance of Forest Owners. 109
 pages.
- Thurow R, Kilman S (2009) Enough: Why the World's Poor Starve in an Age of Plenty. BBS Public Affairs, New York.
- UNEP (2016) Unlocking the Sustainable Potential of Land Resources: Evaluation Systems, Strategies and Tools. Working Group on Land and Soils, International Resource Panel (IRP UNEP). Herrick, JE, O Arnalds, B Bestelmeyer, S Bringezu, G Han, MV Johnson et al., ISBN: 978-92-807-3578-9
- USDA Economic Research Service (2015) Definitions of Food Security: Ranges of Food Security and Food Insecurity. U.S. Department of Agriculture
- USDOE 2011. U.S. Billion-Ton Update: Biomass Supply for a Bioenergy and Bioproducts Industry. ORNL. http://www1.eere.energy.gov/bioenergy/pdfs/billion_ton_update.pdf
- Wear, D.N., Greis, J.G., 2013. The Southern Forest Futures Project: Technical Report Gen.Tech.Pre.SRS-178. United States Department of Agriculture. Forest Service, Research and Development, Southern Research Station. 553 p.
- Wear, D.N., Huggett, R., Ruhong, R., et al., 2013. Forecasts of forest conditions in regions of the United States under future scenarios: a technical document supporting the Forest Service 2012 Resources Planning Act Assessment. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-GTR-170. Asheville, NC: USDA-Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 101 p. http://www.srs.fs.usda.gov/pubs/43055#sthash.IIXY3040.dpuf> (accessed February 19, 2017).
- Woodall et al. 2015. Monitoring Network Confirms Land-Use Change is a Substantial Component of the Forest Carbon Sink in eastern United States

